arXiv:1303.6137v1 [math.DG] 25 Mar 2013

EINSTEIN LOCALLY CONFORMAL CALIBRATED G_2-STRUCTURES

ANNA FINO AND ALBERTO RAFFERO

Abstract. We study locally conformal calibrated G_2-structures whose underlying Riemannian metric is Einstein, showing an integral formula for compact manifolds. We show that a compact homogeneous 7-manifold cannot admit an invariant Einstein locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure unless the underlying metric is flat. In contrast to the compact case we provide a non-compact example of homogeneous manifold endowed with a locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure whose associated Riemannian metric is Einstein and non Ricci-flat. The homogeneous Einstein metric is a rank-one extension of a Ricci soliton on the 3-dimensional complex Heisenberg group endowed with a left-invariant coupled half-flat $SU(3)$-structure (ω, ψ) such that $d\omega = -\mathrm{Re}(\psi)$.

1. Introduction

We recall that a 7-dimensional manifold M admits a G_2-structure if the structure group of the frame bundle reduces to the exceptional Lie group G_2. The existence of a G_2-structure is equivalent to the existence of a non-degenerate 3-form φ defined on the whole manifold (see for example [26]) and using this 3-form it is possible to define a Riemannian metric g_φ on M.

In [18] is given a classification of G_2-structures into 16 classes obtained by decomposing into G_2-irreducible components the space to which belongs the covariant derivative of φ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{LC}. More precisely, it is proved that the space $\mathcal{W}$ of tensors having the same symmetries as the covariant derivative $\nabla^{LC}\varphi$ has four G_2-irreducible components $\mathcal{W}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, such that the following g_φ-orthogonal decomposition holds: $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$.

If φ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, i.e. $\nabla^{LC}\varphi = 0$, then the holonomy group is contained in G_2, the G_2-structure is called *parallel* and the corresponding manifolds are called *G_2-manifolds*. In this case the induced metric g_φ is Ricci-flat.

The first examples of complete metrics with holonomy G_2 were constructed by Bryant and Salamon [7]. Compact examples of manifolds with holonomy G_2 were obtained first by Joyce [24, 25, 26] and then by Kovalev [28]. Incomplete Ricci-flat metrics of holonomy G_2 with a 2-step nilpotent isometry group N acting on

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C10, 53C30, 53C25.

Research partially supported by GNSAGA (Indam) of Italy.

orbits of codimension 1 were obtained in [10, 21]. It turns out that these metrics are locally isometric (modulo a conformal change) to homogeneous metrics on solvable Lie groups which are obtained as rank one extensions of a 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie group endowed with an invariant $SU(3)$-structure of a special kind, known in the literature as half-flat [11].

Interesting classes of (non-parallel) G_2-structures are:

1. $\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3$ which corresponds to the G_2-structures for which $d * \varphi = 0$. These structures are also called *co-closed* or *co-calibrated*.
2. $\mathcal{W}_1$ which corresponds to the so called *nearly parallel* G_2-structures. i.e. the ones for which $d\varphi = k * \varphi$. The underlying metric g_φ is Einstein.
3. $\mathcal{W}_2$ which corresponds to the G_2-structures for which $d\varphi = 0$. These structures are also called *closed* or *calibrated*.
4. $\mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$ which corresponds to the G_2-structures for which $d\varphi = \frac{3}{4}\alpha \wedge \varphi$, where α is a closed 1-form. These structures are also called *locally conformal calibrated*, since a conformal change of the metric g into $e^{2f}g$ (with $df = \alpha$) yields locally a calibrated G_2-structure. The closed 1-form $\alpha = -\frac{1}{3} * (*d\varphi \wedge \varphi)$ is known as the *Lee form* of the G_2-structure.
5. $\mathcal{W}_4$ which corresponds to the G_2-structures for which
$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi &= \alpha \wedge \varphi, \\ d * \varphi &= \beta \wedge *\varphi, \end{aligned}$$
with $4\alpha = 3\beta$. These manifolds are also called *locally conformal parallel*, since doing the conformal change $e^{2f}g$ (with $df = -\frac{1}{3}\alpha$) one gets locally a parallel G_2-structure.

Examples of compact and non-compact manifolds endowed with non-parallel G_2-structures are given for instance in [8, 9, 10, 14, 15]. In particular, in [10] conformally parallel G_2-structures on solvmanifolds, i.e. on simply connected solvable Lie groups, are studied. More in general, in [23] it was shown that a 7-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold M admits a locally conformal parallel G_2-structure if and only if it has as covering a Riemannian cone over a compact nearly Kähler 6-manifold such that the covering transformations are homotheties preserving the corresponding parallel G_2-structure.

By [6, 12, 19] it is evident that the Riemannian scalar curvature of a G_2-structure may be expressed in terms of the 3-form φ and its derivatives. More precisely, in [6] an expression of the Ricci curvature and scalar curvature in terms of the four intrinsic torsion forms τ_i, $i = 0, \ldots, 3$, and their exterior derivatives is given. Moreover, using this expression it is possible to show that the scalar curvature has a definite sign for certain classes of G_2-structures.

The geometry of calibrated G_2-structures is studied in [12]. Bryant proved in [6] that if the scalar curvature of a closed G_2-structure is non-negative then the G_2-structure is parallel.

We will say that a G_2-structure φ is Einstein if the underlying Riemannian metric g_φ is Einstein. An interesting question is then whether there are calibrated G_2-structures which are Einstein but not Ricci-flat. In [6, 12] it was proved, as analogous of Goldberg conjecture for almost-Kähler manifolds, that on a compact manifold an Einstein (or more in general with divergence-free Weyl tensor [12]) calibrated G_2-structure has holonomy contained in G_2. In the non compact case Cleyton and Ivanov showed that the same result is true with the additional assumption that the G_2-structure is $*$-Einstein, but it still a open problem to see if there exist (even incomplete) Einstein metrics underlying calibrated G_2-structures.

Einstein co-calibrated G_2-structures which are not nearly parallel have been constructed on 3-Sasakian manifolds in [1], showing that there is no analogous of Goldberg conjecture for co-calibrated G_2-structures in the compact case.

In contrast to the almost-Kähler case, in [17] it has been proved that a 7-dimensional Einstein solvmanifold cannot admit any left-invariant Einstein (co)calibrated G_2-structure unless the underlying metric is flat.

In the present paper we are mainly interested on the geometry of locally conformal calibrated G_2-structures, i.e. on G_2-structures which belong to the class $\mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$.

In Section 4 we find an integral formula for a compact manifold endowed with an Einstein locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure and we show that a compact homogeneous 7-manifold cannot admit an invariant Einstein locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure unless the underlying metric is flat. In the last section we give a non-compact example of a homogeneous manifold endowed with an Einstein locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure. The underlying homogeneous Einstein metric is a rank-one extension of a Ricci soliton on the complex Heisenberg group endowed with a coupled half-flat $SU(3)$-structure (ω, ψ) such that $d\omega = -\mathrm{Re}(\psi)$ (recall that an $SU(3)$-structure is called *coupled* if $d\omega$ is proportional to $\mathrm{Re}(\psi)$ at each point, [35]).

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Simon Salamon, Uwe Semmelmann and Luigi Vezzoni for useful comments on the paper. Aspects of the work were described at the Workshop "G_2 days"(King's and University College, London, 2012).

2. Preliminaries on G_2-structures

Let $(e_1, \dots, e_7)$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^7$ and $(e^1, \dots, e^7)$ the corresponding dual basis. We set

$$\varphi = e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} - e^{356},$$

where for simplicity e^{ijk} stands for the wedge product $e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$ in $\Lambda^3((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)$. Then, the subgroup of $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ fixing φ is G_2. The basis $(e^1, \dots, e^7)$ is an oriented orthonormal basis for the underlying metric and the orientation is determined by the inclusion $G_2 \subset SO(7)$. The group G_2 also fixes the 4-form

$$*\varphi = e^{4567} + e^{2367} + e^{2345} + e^{1357} - e^{1346} - e^{1256} - e^{1247},$$

where $*$ denotes the Hodge star operator determined by the associated metric and orientation.

We recall that a G_2-structure on a 7-manifold M is characterized by a positive generic 3-form φ. Indeed, it turns out that there is a 1-1 correspondence between G_2-structures on a 7-manifold and 3-forms for which the bilinear form B_φ defined by

$$B_\varphi(X,Y) = \frac{1}{6}\, i_X\varphi \wedge i_Y\varphi \wedge \varphi$$

is positive definite, where i_X denotes the contraction by X. A 3-form φ for which B_φ is positive-definite defines a unique Riemannian metric g_φ and volume form vol such that for any couple of vectors X and Y the following relation holds

$$g_\varphi(X,Y)\mathrm{vol} = \frac{1}{6}\, i_X\varphi \wedge i_Y\varphi \wedge \varphi.$$

As in [12] we let

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{6}\varphi_{ijk}e^{ijk}$$

and define the $*$-Ricci tensor of the G_2-structure as

$$\rho^*_{sm} := R_{ijkl}\varphi_{ijs}\varphi_{klm}.$$

A G_2-structure is said $*$-Einstein if the traceless part of the $*$-Ricci tensor vanishes, i.e. when $\rho^* = \frac{s^*}{7}g$, where s^* is the trace of ρ^*.

On a 7-manifold endowed with a G_2-structure, the action of G_2 on the tangent spaces induces an action of G_2 on the exterior algebra $\Lambda^p(M)$, for any $p \geq 2$. In [5] it is shown that there are irreducible G_2-module decompositions

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^2((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) &= \Lambda^2_7((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) \oplus \Lambda^2_{14}((\mathbb{R}^7)^*),\\ \Lambda^3((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) &= \Lambda^3_1((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) \oplus \Lambda^3_7((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}((\mathbb{R}^7)^*),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda^p_k((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)$ denotes an irreducible G_2-module of dimension k and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda^2_{14}((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) \,|\, \alpha\wedge\varphi = -*\alpha\} \cong \mathfrak{g}_2,\\ &\Lambda^2_7((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) \,|\, \alpha\wedge\varphi = 2*\alpha\},\\ &\Lambda^3_{27}((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) = \{\gamma \in \Lambda^3((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) \,|\, \gamma\wedge\varphi = 0, \gamma\wedge*\varphi = 0\} \cong S^2_0((\mathbb{R}^7)^*),\\ &\Lambda^3_7((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) = \{*(\beta\wedge\varphi) \,|\, \beta \in \Lambda^1((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)\},\\ &\Lambda^3_1((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) = \mathbb{R}\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where $S^2_0((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)$ denotes the space of traceless symmetric 2-tensors. Using the previous decomposition of p-forms in [6] a simple expression of $d\varphi$ and $d*\varphi$ is obtained. More precisely, for any G_2-structure φ there exist unique differential forms $\tau_0 \in \Lambda^0(M) \cong \mathcal{W}_1$, $\tau_1 \in \Lambda^1(M) \cong \mathcal{W}_4$, $\tau_2 \in \Lambda^2_{14}(M) \cong \mathcal{W}_2$, $\tau_3 \in \Lambda^3_{27}(M) \cong \mathcal{W}_3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}d\varphi &= \tau_0 * \varphi + 3\tau_1\wedge\varphi + *\tau_3,\\ d*\varphi &= 4\tau_1\wedge*\varphi + \tau_2\wedge\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda^p_k(M)$ denotes the space of sections of the bundle $\Lambda^p_k(T^*M)$.

One has the following relations [6, 27]:

$$\begin{aligned} &*(*\varphi \wedge *(*\varphi \wedge \alpha)) = 3\alpha, \\ &\varphi \wedge *(*\varphi \wedge \alpha) = 2(*\varphi \wedge \alpha), \\ &|*\varphi \wedge \alpha|^2 = 3|\alpha|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for any 1-form α.

The 2-form τ_2 belongs to the space $\Lambda^2_{14}(M)$, i.e. it satisfies the condition

$$\tau_2 \wedge \varphi = - * \tau_2. \tag{2}$$

It is proved in [6] that for τ_2 also hold

$$\begin{aligned} &|\tau_2^2|^2 = |\tau_2|^4, \\ &\tau_2 \wedge *(\tau_2^2) = |\tau_2|^2 * \tau_2 - \tfrac{1}{3} * (\tau_2^3 \wedge *\varphi), \\ &|\tau_2^2 + \tfrac{1}{7}|\tau_2|^2 * \varphi|^2 = \tfrac{6}{7}|\tau_2|^4, \\ &|\tau_2^3|^2 \leq \tfrac{2}{3}|\tau_2|^6, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where by τ_2^2 and τ_2^3 we denote respectively the wedge products $\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2$ and $\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2 \wedge \tau_2$.

3. Curvature of locally conformal calibrated G_2-structures

For a G_2-structure which belongs to the class $\mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4$ one has (using the notations of [6])

$$\begin{aligned} &d\varphi = 3\tau_1 \wedge \varphi, \\ &d * \varphi = 4\tau_1 \wedge *\varphi + \tau_2 \wedge \varphi. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By [6] the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature are given in terms of the differential forms τ_i, $i = 0, \dots, 3$, respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}ic(g_\varphi) = \quad & -(\tfrac{3}{2}\delta\tau_1 + 15|\tau_1|^2 - \tfrac{1}{4}|\tau_2|^2)g_\varphi + j[-\tfrac{5}{4}d * (\tau_1 \wedge *\varphi) - \tfrac{1}{4}d\tau_2 \\ & + \tfrac{5}{2}\tau_1 \wedge *(\tau_1 \wedge *\varphi) + \tfrac{1}{4}\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 + \tfrac{1}{8} * (\tau_2^2)], \\ \mathrm{S}cal(g_\varphi) = \quad & 12\delta\tau_1 + 30|\tau_1|^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}|\tau_2|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $j : \Lambda^3((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) \to S^2((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)$ is the map defined by

$$j(\gamma)(V, W) = *[i_V\varphi \wedge i_W\varphi \wedge \gamma]$$

and δ is the formal adjoint of d. By [6] one has that $j(\varphi) = 6g_\varphi$ and $j(\Lambda^3_7((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)) = 0$.

If one considers the G_2-equivariant map $i : S^2((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) \to \Lambda^3((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)$, defined on decomposable elements by:

$$i(\alpha \circ \beta) = \alpha \wedge *(\beta \wedge *\varphi) + \beta \wedge *(\alpha \wedge *\varphi),$$

then $i(g_\varphi) = 6\varphi$ and $S^2((\mathbb{R}^7)^*) = \mathbb{R}g_\varphi \oplus S^2_0((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)$ is a decomposition of $S^2((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)$ into G_2-irreducible modules. i is injective and $i(S^2_0((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)) = \Lambda^3_{27}((\mathbb{R}^7)^*)$. Moreover,

$$i(j(\gamma)) = 8\gamma + 4*(\varphi \wedge *\gamma)\varphi = 8\gamma + 4*(*\varphi \wedge \gamma)\varphi, \tag{6}$$

for any 3-form γ.

Taking the exterior derivative of (4) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} &d\tau_1 = 0, \\ &0 = d(d*\varphi) = -4\tau_1 \wedge d*\varphi + d\tau_2 \wedge \varphi + 3\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \wedge \varphi \end{aligned}$$

and thus, by (4),

$$d\tau_2 \wedge \varphi = \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \wedge \varphi.$$

Since $\tau_2 \in \Lambda^2_{14}(M)$, one has that

$$\tau_2 \wedge *\varphi = 0 \tag{7}$$

and differentiating (7) yields

$$0 = d(\tau_2 \wedge *\varphi) = d\tau_2 \wedge *\varphi + \tau_2^2 \wedge \varphi = d\tau_2 \wedge *\varphi - \tau_2 \wedge *\tau_2,$$

implying that

$$d\tau_2 \wedge *\varphi = |\tau_2|^2 * 1. \tag{8}$$

Let θ denote the 2-form $*(\tau_1 \wedge *\varphi)$, using the second relation of (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \wedge \varphi &= *(\tau_1 \wedge *\varphi) \wedge \varphi = 2(\tau_1 \wedge *\varphi) \\ &= 2**(\tau_1 \wedge *\varphi) = 2*\theta, \end{aligned}$$

thus $\theta \in \Lambda^2_7(M)$ and $g_\varphi(\theta, \tau_2) = 0$. Moreover, $|\theta^2|^2 = 12|\tau_1|^4$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure*

$$\begin{aligned} d\tau_2 &= \left(-\tfrac{15}{7}\delta\tau_1 - \tfrac{90}{7}|\tau_1|^2 + \tfrac{3}{14}|\tau_2|^2\right)\varphi - 5d\theta \\ &\quad +10\tau_1 \wedge \theta + \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}*(\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2) - \tfrac{1}{2}i(Ric^0(g_\varphi)), \end{aligned}$$

where $Ric^0(g_\varphi)$ is the traceless Ricci tensor of g_φ.

Proof. Using (1), (6), (8)

$$\begin{aligned} -\tfrac{5}{4}ij(d\theta) &= -10d\theta - 5*(\varphi \wedge *d\theta)\varphi, \\ -\tfrac{1}{4}ij(d\tau_2) &= -2d\tau_2 - |\tau_2|^2\varphi, \\ \tfrac{5}{2}ij(\tau_1 \wedge \theta) &= 20\tau_1 \wedge \theta + 30|\tau_1|^2\varphi, \\ \tfrac{1}{4}ij(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2) &= 2\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2, \\ \tfrac{1}{8}ij(*(\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2) &= *(\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2) - \tfrac{1}{2}|\tau_2|^2\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Then using (5) and the fact that $\mathrm{Ric}(g_\varphi) = \mathrm{Ric}^0(g_\varphi) + \frac{1}{7}\mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi)g_\varphi$,

$$\begin{aligned} i(\mathrm{Ric}^0(g_\varphi)) + \left(\tfrac{72}{7}\delta\tau_1 + \tfrac{180}{7}|\tau_1|^2 - \tfrac{3}{7}|\tau_2|^2\right)\varphi &= (-9\delta\tau_1 - 60|\tau_1|^2 - 5*(\varphi \wedge *d\theta))\varphi \\ &\quad -10d\theta - 2d\tau_2 + 20\tau_1 \wedge \theta + 2\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \\ &\quad +*(\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2). \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} d\tau_2 &= \tau_1\wedge\tau_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}i(\mathrm{Ric}^0(g_\varphi)) - \tfrac{135}{14}(\delta\tau_1)\varphi - \tfrac{300}{7}|\tau_1|^2\varphi + \tfrac{3}{14}|\tau_2|^2\varphi \\ &\quad -5d\theta - \tfrac{5}{2}*(\varphi\wedge *d\theta)\varphi + 10\tau_1\wedge\theta + \tfrac{1}{2}*(\tau_2\wedge\tau_2). \end{aligned}$$

By the first relation of (1)

$$\begin{aligned} 3d*\tau_1 &= d(*\varphi\wedge *(*\varphi\wedge\tau_1)) \\ &= (4\tau_1\wedge *\varphi + \tau_2\wedge\varphi)\wedge *(*\varphi\wedge\tau_1) + *\varphi\wedge d*(*\varphi\wedge\tau_1)) \\ &= 12|\tau_1|^2 * 1 + \varphi\wedge *d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

since $\tau_2\wedge\varphi\wedge\theta = 2\tau_2\wedge *\theta = 0$. Then

$$-\tfrac{5}{2}*(\varphi\wedge *d\theta) \;=\; 30|\tau_1|^2 + \tfrac{15}{2}\delta\tau_1$$

and the Lemma follows.

□

Remark 3.1. *If $\tau_1 = 0$, i.e. if the G_2-structure is calibrated, one gets the relation* (4.39) *of* [6].

4. Einstein locally conformal calibrated G_2-structures

For compact manifolds we can prove the following

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a compact 7-dimensional manifold endowed with a locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure whose underlying Riemannian metric g_φ is Einstein, then the following integral formula holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \;& \Big[240|\tau_1|^4 + \tfrac{105}{4}|\tau_1|^2|\tau_2|^2 - \tfrac{87}{8}|\tau_2|^4 - 2(|\tau_1|^2+|\tau_2|^2) + \tfrac{45}{4}|\tau_2^2+\theta^2|^2 + 2|*\tau_1 - \tau_2^3|^2 \\ & + \tfrac{5}{28}\mathrm{Scal}(g)(|\tau_2|^2 - 150|\tau_1|^2)\Big] * 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Stokes' Theorem we have

$$\int_M d\left(\tfrac{1}{3}\tau_2^3 + 5\tau_2^2\wedge\theta + 25\tau_2\wedge\theta^2 + \tfrac{125}{3}\theta^3\right) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Using the linearity of d we get that the integrand is equal to

$$\tfrac{1}{3}d(\tau_2^3) + 5d(\tau_2^2\wedge\theta) + 25d(\tau_2\wedge\theta^2) + \tfrac{125}{3}d(\theta^3). \tag{10}$$

Since g_φ is an Einstein metric, the expression of $d\tau_2$ obtained in Lemma 3.1 becomes

$$d\tau_2 = \left(-\tfrac{15}{7}\delta\tau_1 - \tfrac{90}{7}|\tau_1|^2 + \tfrac{3}{14}|\tau_2|^2\right)\varphi - 5d\theta + 10\tau_1\wedge\theta + \tau_1\wedge\tau_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}*(\tau_2^2), \tag{11}$$

indeed

$$\mathrm{Ric}^0(g_\varphi) \;=\; \mathrm{Ric}(g_\varphi) - \tfrac{1}{7}\mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi)g_\varphi = 0$$

and then

$$i(\mathrm{Ric}^0(g_\varphi)) \;=\; 0.$$

By (2), the first identity of (3) and (11) the first summand of (10) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{3}d(\tau_2^3) &= \tau_2\wedge\tau_2\wedge d\tau_2\\ &= \left(\tfrac{15}{7}\delta\tau_1+\tfrac{90}{7}|\tau_1|^2-\tfrac{3}{14}|\tau_2|^2\right)|\tau_2|^2*1-5\tau_2^2\wedge d\theta\\ &\quad +10\tau_2^2\wedge\tau_1\wedge\theta+\tau_1\wedge\tau_2^3+\tfrac{1}{2}|\tau_2|^4*1.\end{aligned}$$

Using (2) and (11) we also find that

$$\begin{aligned}5d(\tau_2^2\wedge\theta) &= 10d\tau_2\wedge\tau_2\wedge\theta+5\tau_2^2\wedge d\theta\\ &= 10\left(-\tfrac{15}{7}\delta\tau_1-\tfrac{90}{7}|\tau_1|^2+\tfrac{3}{14}|\tau_2|^2\right)(-*\tau_2\wedge\theta)-50d\theta\wedge\tau_2\wedge\theta\\ &\quad +100\tau_1\wedge\tau_2\wedge\theta^2+10\tau_1\wedge\tau_2^2\wedge\theta+5*(\tau_2\wedge\tau_2)\wedge\tau_2\wedge\theta\\ &\quad +5\tau_2^2\wedge d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Now by the definition of $*$ we can write

$$*\tau_2\wedge\theta=g_\varphi(\tau_2,\theta)*1=0$$

since $\theta\in\Lambda^2_7(M)$ and $\tau_2\in\Lambda^2_{14}(M)$. Moreover from the first identity of (1), the second identity of (3) and (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}5*(\tau_2\wedge\tau_2)\wedge\tau_2\wedge\theta &= 5\left(|\tau_2|^2*\tau_2-\tfrac{1}{3}*(\tau_2^3)\wedge*\varphi\right)\wedge\theta\\ &= -5\tau_1\wedge\tau_2^3\end{aligned}$$

and we can conclude that the second summand of (10) is equal to

$$-50d\theta\wedge\tau_2\wedge\theta+100\tau_1\wedge\tau_2\wedge\theta^2+10\tau_1\wedge\tau_2^2\wedge\theta-5\tau_1\wedge\tau_2^3+5\tau_2^2\wedge d\theta.$$

Using the second and the third identity of (1) we can show that

$$\varphi\wedge\theta^2 = 6|\tau_1|^2*1$$

and from this obtain the following expression for the third summand of (10)

$$\begin{aligned}25d(\tau_2\wedge\theta^2) &= 25(d\tau_2\wedge\theta^2+2\tau_2\wedge d\theta\wedge\theta)\\ &= 150\left(-\tfrac{15}{7}\delta\tau_1-\tfrac{90}{7}|\tau_1|^2+\tfrac{3}{14}|\tau_2|^2\right)|\tau_1|^2*1-125d\theta\wedge\theta^2\\ &\quad +250\tau_1\wedge\theta^3+25\tau_1\wedge\tau_2\wedge\theta^2+\tfrac{25}{2}*(\tau_2\wedge\tau_2)\wedge\theta^2\\ &\quad +50\tau_2\wedge d\theta\wedge\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Finally for the last summand of (10) we have

$$\tfrac{125}{3}d(\theta^3) = 125d\theta\wedge\theta^2.$$

Using the expressions obtained for the four summands it follows that (10) is equal to

$$\begin{gathered}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}|\tau_2|^4+\left(\tfrac{15}{7}\delta\tau_1+\tfrac{90}{7}|\tau_1|^2-\tfrac{3}{14}|\tau_2|^2\right)\left(|\tau_2|^2-150|\tau_1|^2\right)\right)*1\\ +20\tau_1\wedge\tau_2^2\wedge\theta-4\tau_1\wedge\tau_2^3+125\tau_1\wedge\tau_2\wedge\theta^2\\ +250\tau_1\wedge\theta^3+\tfrac{25}{2}*(\tau_2\wedge\tau_2)\wedge\theta^2.\end{gathered}\tag{12}$$

From the expression of the scalar curvature (5) we have

$$\tfrac{15}{7}\delta\tau_1 \quad = \quad \tfrac{5}{28}\mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi) - \tfrac{75}{14}|\tau_1|^2 + \tfrac{5}{56}|\tau_2|^2$$

and using this we find that (12) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(\tfrac{3}{8}|\tau_2|^4 + \tfrac{105}{4}|\tau_1|^2|\tau_2|^2 - 1125|\tau_1|^4 + \tfrac{5}{28}\mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi)\left(|\tau_2|^2 - 150|\tau_1|^2\right)\big) * 1 \\ &+20\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2^2 \wedge \theta - 4\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2^3 + 125\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \wedge \theta^2 \\ &+250\tau_1 \wedge \theta^3 + \tfrac{25}{2} * (\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2) \wedge \theta^2. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Let us now consider the summands that are written in terms of wedge product of various differential forms and write them in terms of the volume form $*1$.

Using the computation in local coordinates we have

$$\begin{aligned} 20\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2^2 \wedge \theta &= 10 * (\tau_2^2) \wedge \theta^2 \\ &= 10 g_\varphi(\tau_2^2, \theta^2) * 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the Hodge star operator we find that

$$\begin{aligned} -4\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2^3 &= -4 * (*\tau_1) \wedge \tau_2^3 \\ &= -4 g_\varphi(*\tau_1, \tau_2^3) * 1. \end{aligned}$$

Using the computation in local coordinates we also find that $\tau_1 \wedge \theta^2 \in \Lambda^5_7(M)$, then $\tau_1 \wedge \theta^2$ and $*\tau_2$ are g_φ-orthogonal and

$$\begin{aligned} 125\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \wedge \theta^2 &= 125\tau_1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge *(*\tau_2) \\ &= 125 g_\varphi(\tau_1 \wedge \theta^2, *\tau_2) * 1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Still from local coordinates computation we have

$$250\tau_1 \wedge \theta^3 \quad = \quad 1500|\tau_1|^4 * 1.$$

Finally using the definition of $*$ we have

$$\tfrac{25}{2} * (\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2) \wedge \theta^2 \quad = \quad \tfrac{25}{2} g_\varphi(\tau_2^2, \theta^2) * 1.$$

That being so we can conclude that (13) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(375|\tau_1|^4 + \tfrac{165}{2}|\tau_1|^2|\tau_2|^2 + \left(\tfrac{5}{28}\mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi) + \tfrac{3}{8}|\tau_2|^2\right)\left(|\tau_2|^2 - 150|\tau_1|^2\right) \\ &-4 g_\varphi(*\tau_1, \tau_2^3) + \tfrac{45}{2} g_\varphi(\tau_2^2, \theta^2)\big) * 1 \end{aligned}$$

and from this the integral identity follows. □

Remark 4.1. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 4.1*

1. *If* $\tau_1 = 0$, *one has* $\int_M \frac{2}{7}|\tau_2|^4 * 1 = 0$ *as Bryant found in* [6].
2. *If the Lee form is co-closed, i.e. if* $\delta\tau_1 = 0$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \quad &\big[-\tfrac{3945}{7}|\tau_1|^4 + 45|\tau_1|^2|\tau_2|^2 - \tfrac{307}{28}|\tau_2|^4 - 2(|\tau_1|^2 + |\tau_2|^2) + \tfrac{45}{4}|\tau_2^2 + \theta^2|^2 \\ &+2|*\tau_1 - \tau_2^3|^2\big] * 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(3) *If* $\tau_2 = 0$, *then* $\int_M 15|\tau_1|^4 * 1 = \frac{1}{28}\mathrm{Scal}^2(g)\mathrm{Vol}(M)$.

We will show now that a compact homogeneous 7-manifold cannot admit an invariant Einstein locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure unless the underlying metric is flat.

Let us recall that in the homogeneous case Ricci flatness implies flatness ([2]) and the following

Theorem 4.2 ([4])**.** *Let* (M, g) *be a homogeneous Einstein manifold with negative scalar curvature, then* M *is not compact.*

From this theorem we have that every 7-dimensional compact homogeneous manifold M with an invariant G_2-structure φ whose associated metric is Einstein has $\mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi) \geq 0$. Let us now prove the following

Proposition 4.1. *If* φ *is an invariant* G_2*-structure on a 7-dimensional compact homogeneous manifold* M*, then* $\delta\tau_1 = 0$ *on* M*, where* δ *is the coderivative with respect to the underlying metric* g_φ*.*

Proof. It is a general fact that the integral of $\delta\tau_1$ over M is 0 (see for instance [3]). Then using the fact that the 3-form φ is invariant we have

$$0 \;=\; \int_M \delta\tau_1 * 1 = \delta\tau_1 \mathrm{Vol}(M)$$

and from this the assertion follows. □

The following result is a corollary of a Bochner's Theorem (1964).

Proposition 4.2. *If* (M, g) *is a compact, oriented Riemannian manifold with* $\mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq 0$ *and has positive Ricci curvature at one point, then all harmonic 1-forms vanish everywhere on* M*.*

If we consider a 7-dimensional, oriented, compact smooth manifold M with an Einstein locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure and we suppose that $\mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi) > 0$ and $\delta\tau_1 = 0$, then we have that τ_1 is harmonic since it is also closed and $\mathrm{Ric}(g_\varphi) = \frac{1}{7}\mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi)g_\varphi$ is positive definite, so from the previous Proposition the 1-form τ_1 vanishes everywhere on M. From this fact it follows that the G_2-structure is closed and, since we are now under the hypotesis of Bryant's result ([6]), the G_2-structure is actually parallel. We can summarize this result in the following

Proposition 4.3. *Let* M *be a 7-dimensional, oriented, compact, smooth manifold endowed with an Einstein locally conformal calibrated* G_2*-structure* φ*. Suppose that* $\mathrm{Scal}(g_\varphi) > 0$ *and* $\delta\tau_1 = 0$*, then the* G_2*-structure* φ *is parallel.*

In particular, a 7-dimensional compact homogeneous manifold M cannot admit an invariant locally conformal calibrated Einstein G_2-structure φ, unless the underlying metric g_φ is flat.

5. Non compact homogeneous examples

In this section we construct locally conformal calibrated G_2-structures φ inducing an Einstein (non Ricci-flat) metric on non-compact homogeneous manifolds. All the known examples of non-compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds are solvmanifolds, i.e. simply connected solvable Lie groups S endowed with a left-invariant metric (see for instance the recent survey [32]). D. Alekseevskii conjectured that these might exhaust the class of non-compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds (see [4, 7.57]).

Lauret in [33] showed that every Einstein solvmanifold is *standard*, i.e. it is a solvable Lie group S endowed with a left-invariant metric such that the orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{a} = [\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]^{\perp}$, where $\mathfrak{s}$ is the Lie algebra of S, is abelian. We recall that, given a metric nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ with an inner product $\langle\, , \rangle$, a metric solvable Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a}, \langle\, , \rangle')$ is called a *metric solvable extension* of $(\mathfrak{n}, \langle\, , \rangle)$ if the restrictions to $\mathfrak{n}$ of the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{s}$ and of the inner product $\langle\, , \rangle'$ coincide with the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{n}$ and with $\langle\, , \rangle$ respectively. The dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$ is called the algebraic rank of $\mathfrak{s}$.

In [22, 4.18] it was proved that the study of standard Einstein metric solvable Lie algebras reduces to the rank-one metric solvable extension of a nilpotent Lie algebra (i.e those for which $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$). Indeed, by [22] the metric Lie algebra of any $(n+1)$-dimensional rank-one solvmanifold can be modelled on $(\mathfrak{s} = \mathbb{R}H \oplus \mathfrak{n}, \langle\, , \rangle)$ for some nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$, with the inner product $\langle\, , \rangle$ such that $\langle H, \mathfrak{n}\rangle = 0$, $\langle H, H\rangle = 1$ and the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{s}$ given by

$$[H, X] = DX, \quad [X, Y] = [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{n}}$$

where $[\, ,]_{\mathfrak{n}}$ denotes the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{n}$ and D is some derivation of $\mathfrak{n}$. By [30] a left-invariant metric h on a nilpotent Lie group N is a Ricci soliton if and only $\mathrm{Ric}(h) = cI + D$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and some derivation D of $\mathfrak{n}$ or, equivalently, if and only if (N, h) admits a metric standard extension whose corresponding standard solvmanifold is Einstein.

In [37] all the 7-dimensional rank-one Einstein solvmanifolds are determined, proving that each one of the 34 nilpotent Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}$ of dimension 6 admits a rank-one solvable extension which can be endowed with an Einstein inner product.

6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras admitting a half-flat $SU(3)$-structure have been classified in [13]. We recall that a $SU(3)$-structure on a 6-manifold consists of an almost Hermitian structure (h, J, ω) and a unit $(3, 0)$-form ψ. Since $SU(3)$ is the stabilizer of the transitive action of G_2 on the 6-sphere S^6, it follows that a G_2-structure on a 7-manifold induces an $SU(3)$-structure on any oriented hypersurface. If the G_2-structure is parallel, then the $SU(3)$-structure is half-flat ([11]); in terms of the forms (ω, ψ), this means $d(\omega \wedge \omega) = 0, d(\mathrm{Re}(\psi)) = 0$. Now we will construct an Einstein locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure on a rank-one extension of the Iwasawa Lie algebra (i.e the Lie algebra of 3-dimensional complex Heisenberg group) endowed with a coupled half-flat structure such that $d\omega = -\mathrm{Re}(\psi)$.

Example 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the Iwasawa Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{s}$ the Einstein rank-one solvable extension with structure equations*

$$\begin{cases} de^i = \frac{1}{2}e^i \wedge e^7, i = 1, \dots, 4 \\ de^5 = e^5 \wedge e^7 + e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4 \\ de^6 = e^6 \wedge e^7 + e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3 \\ de^7 = 0 \end{cases}$$

The associated solvable Lie group S is not unimodular and so it will not admit any compact quotient ([34])*.*

The inner product on $\mathfrak{s}$

$$g = (e^1)^2 + \ldots + (e^7)^2$$

is Einstein with Ricci tensor $Ric(g) = -3g$ and it is the rank-one extension of a Ricci soliton h on the Iwasawa Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$.

The 3-form

$$\varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} - e^{567} + e^{136} - e^{145} - e^{235} - e^{246}$$

has stabilizer G_2 and it is such that $g_\varphi = g$. Moreover, φ induces on $\mathfrak{n}$ the coupled half-flat $SU(3)$-structure

$$\omega = e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{65}, \quad \psi = (e^1 + ie^2) \wedge (e^3 + ie^4) \wedge (e^6 + ie^5),$$

such that $\hat{d}\omega = -\mathrm{Re}(\psi)$, where by $\hat{d}$ we denote the differential on $\mathfrak{n}$.

The G_2-structure φ satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &d\varphi = -e^7 \wedge \varphi, \\ &d * \varphi = -e^7 \wedge (3e^{1256} + 2e^{1234} + 3e^{3456}). \end{aligned}$$

Then the corresponding solvmanifold (S, φ) is an example of non-compact homogeneous manifold endowed with an Einstein (non flat) locally conformal calibrated G_2-structure. Note that

$$\tau_1 = -\frac{1}{3}e^7, \quad \tau_2 = -\left(\frac{5}{3}e^{12} + \frac{5}{3}e^{34} + \frac{10}{3}e^{56}\right)$$

and

$$\delta\tau_1 = -\frac{4}{3}, \quad |\tau_2|^2 = 150|\tau_1|^2.$$

Moreover, the G_2-structure is not $*$-Einstein, since by direct computation one has, with respect to the orthonormal basis $(e_1, \dots, e_7)$, that

$$\rho^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 22 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 22 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 5.1. *The Riemannian metric on* $\mathfrak{n}$ *associated to the* $SU(3)$*-structure* (ω, ψ) *is a Ricci soliton. Moreover, since* $\hat{d}\omega = -\mathrm{Re}(\psi)$*, the* $SU(3)$*-structure is half-flat and its intrinsic torsion belongs to the space* $\mathcal{W}_1{}^- \oplus \mathcal{W}_2{}^-$*, where* $\mathcal{W}_1{}^- \cong \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathcal{W}_2{}^- \cong \mathfrak{su}(3)$*.*

We provide now a non compact example of homogeneous manifold admitting an Einstein (non flat) locally conformal parallel G_2-structure.

Example 5.2. *The Einstein rank-one solvable extension of the* 6*-dimensional abelian Lie algebra is the solvable Lie algebra with structure equations*

$$\begin{cases} de^i = ce^i \wedge e^7, i = 1, \ldots, 6, \\ de^7 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where c *is a non-zero real number. The Riemannian metric*

$$g = (e^1)^2 + \ldots + (e^7)^2$$

is Einstein with Ricci tensor given by $Ric(g) = -6c^2 g$*.*

The 3-form

$$\varphi = e^{125} - e^{345} + e^{136} + e^{246} + e^{147} - e^{237} + e^{567}$$

has stabilizer G_2 *and satisfies the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi &= 3ce^{2746} - 3ce^{3745} + 3ce^{1725} + 3ce^{1736}, \\ d * \varphi &= 4ce^{27356} + 4ce^{17234} - 4ce^{17456}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d * \varphi = \beta \wedge *\varphi$*, with* $\beta = -4ce^7$*. It is immediate to show that* $\tau_1 = -ce^7$ *and* $\tau_0 = 0 = \tau_2 = \tau_3$*.*

References

[1] I. Agricola, T. Friedrich, *3-Sasakian manifolds in dimension seven, their spinors and* G_2*-structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **60** (2010), no. 2, 326–332.

[2] D. V. Alekseevsky, B. N. Kimelfeld, *Structure of homogeneous Riemannian spaces with zero Ricci curvature*, Funkt. Anal. i Prolozěn 9, 511 (1975); Funct. Anal. Appl. 9, 97–102 (1975).

[3] T. Aubin, *A Course in Differential Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 27. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[4] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer, Ergeb. Math. **10** (1987).

[5] R. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Ann. of Math. (2) **126** (1987), 525-576.

[6] R. Bryant, *Some remarks on* G_2*-structures*, Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005, 75–109, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2006.

[7] R. Bryant, S. Salamon, *On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Duke Math. J. **58** (1989), 829–850.

[8] F. Cabrera, *On Riemannian manifolds with* G_2*-structures*, Boll. UMI (7) **10A** (1996), 99-112.

[9] F. Cabrera, M. Monar, A. Swann, *Classification of* G_2*-structures*, J. London Math. Soc. **53** (1996), 407-416.

[10] S. Chiossi, A. Fino, *Conformally parallel G_2 structures on a class of solvmanifolds*, Math. Z. **252** (2006), 825–848.

[11] S. Chiossi, S. Salamon, *The intrinsic torsion of $SU(3)$ and G_2 structures*, Differential geometry, Valencia 2001, World Sci. Publishing, 115-133, River Edge, NJ, 2002.

[12] R. Cleyton, S. Ivanov, *On the geometry of closed G_2-structures*, Comm. Math. Phys. 270 (2007), no. 1, 53–67.

[13] D. Conti, *Half-flat nilmanifolds*, Math. Ann. 350 (2011), no. 1, 155–168.

[14] M. Fernández, *A family of compact solvable G_2-calibrated manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. **39** (1987), 287–289.

[15] M. Fernández, *An example of compact calibrated manifold associated with the exceptional Lie group G_2*, J. Diff. Geom. **26** (1987), 367-370.

[16] M. Fernández, *A classification of Riemannian manifolds with structure group Spin(7)*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 143 (1986), 101–122.

[17] M. Fernández, A. Fino, V. Manero, *G_2-structures on Einstein solvmanifolds*, preprint math.DG/1207.3616.

[18] M. Fernández, A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **32** (1982), 19–45.

[19] Th. Friedrich, S. Ivanov, *Killing spinor equations in dimension 7 and geometry of integrable G_2 manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **48** (2003), 1–11.

[20] Th. Friedrich, S. Ivanov, *Parallel spinors and connections with skew symmetric torsion in string theory*, Asian J. Math. **6** (2002), 303-336.

[21] G.W. Gibbons, H. Lü, C.N. Pope, K.S. Stelle, *Supersymmetric domain wall from metrics of special holonomy*, Nuclear Phys. B **623** (2002), no. 1-2, 3–46.

[22] J. Heber, *Noncompact homogeneous Einstein spaces*, Invent. Math. **133** (1998), 279–352.

[23] S. Ivanov, M. Parton, P. Piccinni, *Locally conformal parallel G_2 and Spin(7) manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. 13 (2006), no. 2-3, 167–177.

[24] D. Joyce, *Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2. I*, J. Diff. Geom. **43** (1996), 291–328.

[25] D. Joyce, *Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2. II*, J. Diff. Geom. **43** (1996), 229–375.

[26] D. Joyce, *Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy*, Oxford University Press, 2000.

[27] S. Karigiannis, *Deformations of G_2 and Spin(7) structures on manifolds*, Canad. J. Math. **57** (2005), no. 5, 1012–1055.

[28] A. Kovalev, *Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **565** (2003), 125–160.

[29] J. Lauret, *Standard Einstein solvmanifolds as critical points*, Quart. J. Math. **52** (2001), 463–470.

[30] J. Lauret, *Ricci soliton homogeneous nilmanifolds*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), no. 4, 715–733.

[31] J. Lauret, *Finding Einstein solvmanifolds by a variational method*, Math. Z. **241** (2002), 83–99.

[32] J. Lauret, *Einstein solvmanifolds and nilsolitons*, in New Developments in Lie Theory and Geometry, Contemp. Math. **491**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 1–35.

[33] J. Lauret, *Einstein solvmanifolds are standard*, Ann. of Math. **172** (2010), 1859–1877.

[34] J. Milnor, *Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups*, Advances in Math. **21** (1976), no. 3, 293-329.

[35] S. Salamon, *A tour of exceptional geometry*, Milan J. Math. **71** (2003), 59–94.

[36] M. Verbitsky, *An intrinsic volume functional on almost complex 6-manifolds and nearly Kähler geometry*, Pacific J. Math. 235 (2008), no. 2, 323–344.

[37] C. Will, *Rank-one Einstein solvmanifolds of dimension 7*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **19** (2003), 253–379.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino, Italy
E-mail address: `annamaria.fino@unito.it`
E-mail address: `alberto.raffero@unito.it`